SLIDE 1. Introduction: This presentation describes the results of the 2011 legislative budget actions for the 2011-12 budget. Also included are some preliminary discussions that are occurring within UF as to how to deal with the budget shortfalls at UF and are subject to change. IFAS owes a great deal of gratitude to our lobbying team of Mary Ann Gosa and Valarie Jones. But most especially to our clientele who carry the message about how valuable IFAS programs and faculty efforts are to their success.

SLIDE 2. Overview all funds – this represents all legislative allocations from all agencies which have items appropriated in their budgets for IFAS. The General Appropriations Act (GAA) includes funding for IFAS EETF (Education Enhancement Trust Fund =lottery) and IFAS GR (General Revenue) is the Research and Extension line item in the budget. As shown, all IFAS lines were held at last year’s level, except for the SNAP program which is pass through Federal dollars.

SLIDES 3-4. The next two slides describe the IFAS Research and Extension (R&E) appropriation and the total UF appropriation which includes IFAS, E&G (teaching), the Health Science Center and other funds appropriated to UF.

Lines 1-1d depict the total 2010-11 appropriations. Note that IFAS had no stimulus money in line 1c because last year IFAS stimulus funds were replaced with recurring funds. UF in total had $31,890,021 in stimulus funds which expires June 30, 2011.

Lines 2-4d reflect the adjustments to the 2010-11 base to deduct the non-recurring funds like stimulus. Note again that because IFAS had no stimulus funding our base remained unchanged, whereas the total UF had almost $37million in non-recurring reductions representing a decrease in base funding of -6.33%

Line 5. Every year the legislature annualizes the amount of funds for items that were only partially funded the previous year in an attempt to provide a full year of budget authority. For example, PO&M (operating funds for new buildings) may have a building that was not occupied the entire year in the previous fiscal year, this represents the adjustment to fund it at the full annual costs.

Line 6a indicates a reduction in unspecified budget reductions for IFAS of $450,212 making the IFAS total adjusted base be -0.34%

Line 6d indicates that UF had $6.8 million of its base restored.

Line 6e is actually $9M lower because UF will be short approx $9M for need-based student aid due to state and federal budget reductions which will have to be addressed.
Line 8 adds it back for new space thus they deducted the new space from our base (line 6a). Just an accounting thing, but the good news of this is they recognize the importance of funding new space operational costs and did not just eliminate the policy.

Line 9 indicates the amount to reduce the employer contribution to retirement (FRS), the slide indicates $0 for IFAS, the IFAS number is actually included in the $22,561,113 for the total UF reduction. Our share is about $3 million. No definite decisions have been made on how this will be managed.

Line 10f The IFAS General Revenue and lottery appropriation for IFAS Research and Extension increased .34% but the retirement contribution must be deducted from that amount for R&E employees and the College of Agricultural and Life Sciences will share in the -17% decrease E&G received (not shown on slide). From all sources of funds UF received a 13.43% cut.

Total UF net cut was thus $48.8 mill + $9 million of need based tuition totals $57.8. UF will receive a $26 mill tuition increase by legislature UF will increase to a total of 15% to recover a portion of this reduction, but bottom line UF must cover a $33M decrease.

**Slides 5-8** Information taken from a presentation by President Machen to the UF Deans, and were only presented as preliminary options.

**SLIDE 5**- Assumptions for the next 3 budget years.

This year’s budget strategy will assume a net reduction of $33 mil for 2011-12 and level budget with no major increases or decrease the next two years

The retirement funds will be restored in some manner to UF employees (amount and eligibility TBD)

There will be no across the board programmatic layoffs, but units are free to look for strategic savings

**SLIDE 6** - How will we transition this year? Items being discussed but NOT final. Discussions will need to occur with units, faculty senate, others

20% (one time recall of all carry forward balances) totals $18M for UF. We believe this means only General Revenue Carry Forward funds and the CFO has indicated only encumbered funds will be excluded.

University Athletic Association (UAA) will be asked to contribute $9M

Other state non-recurring (Not clear what funds these are at this time)

UF will take $2.0 million centrally
Other options - TBD
SLIDE 7 – options to cover the immediate Recurring Funding needs. These options are not yet determined but is a partial list of ideas

  Leave payment (at retirement) reductions – this will have to be discussed with Faculty Senate and was not popular last time it was discussed

  The four days of personal leave is the end of year holiday break. At this time the employees are not charged for it, may be in the future.

  RCM Budget Council is a group that reviews campus service units’ budgets. They will be asked to find more reductions in service and costs.

SLIDE 8-9 - What are some longer term Strategic Alternatives?

  Shared Services – are there ways to form business hubs to provide common services for multiple units?

  Out-of-state students pay higher tuition, may look to increase the proportion compared to in-state students

  Change the amount of leave earned annually

  Suspend Sustained Performance Program awards after the current year. (The UF Deans stressed the need to continue to honor promotion increases)

BUDGET SUMMARY: Given the budget environment and financial situation in the State of Florida, IFAS fared well. We again, owe a great deal of gratitude to our clientele who interacted in a most meaningful way with their elected officials in support of our faculty programs.

But there are some other factors we do not know:

  - How will the UF reduction will be managed?
  - RCM allocations (SCHs are down across the University), which includes central service unit assessments
  - 3% retirement reduction amount is not known, nor how much will come from E&G, and how much from IFAS R&E allocation.

SLIDE 10 - FACULTY EFFORT This slide depicts the historical 1995-96 to 2010-11 Faculty Effort Distribution. The slide shows the number of FTEs of tenure accruing faculty actually paid for each function (Research, Teaching and Extension) and the number of individual faculty members that have a portion of their assignment in one of those functions.
Example: in 1995 we had a total of 646.5 FTEs of faculty in IFAS, today we have 504 or 143 fewer.

In 1995-96 IFAS had 390 FTEs of research spread over 565 individuals. In 2010-11, this has been reduced to 253 FTEs with 440 individual faculty having a research assignment.

The trend for the other two functions is shown. The increase in teaching is due mainly to enrollment growth.

The reductions are due to budget decreases over the years.

The County Faculty numbers have increased due to more Courtesy agents, a higher percentage of the agents being paid by the counties, special funding initiatives and more multi-county agents.

Bottom line: The budget cuts over the past 15 years have severely impacted our ability to deliver programs through the loss of faculty positions.